



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/807,473 03/22/2004		Sukendeep Samra	020699-004610US	3063		
22852	7590 04/26/2006		EXAMINER			
FINNEGAN	N, HENDERSON, FAI	COULTER, KENNETH R				
	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	ON, DC 20001-4413	2141				
		DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		10/807,47	3	SAMRA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Kenneth R.		2141				
- 7 Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commun leply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M is of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply is specified above, the maximum streply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 6(a). In no ever rill apply and will cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE!	I. tely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)□ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
·—									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cl	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-12 and 14-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) <u></u> Cla	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Cl	☑ Claim(s) <u>9-12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Cl	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□ Cl	aim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election re	quirement.					
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> The	e specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Ap	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🔲 The	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	knowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: ☐ Certified copies of the priority				-(d) or (f).				
2.[2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3.[3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internation		- ·	• • • •					
* See	the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certifi	ed copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) 🔲 Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F			Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)			
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date	P10/SB/08)		6) Other:	атопт Африовион (г. 13	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/807,473

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner requests information (patent number; patent publication number) regarding the "Harada" reference, referred to on 3/22/04 (p. 5; REMARKS/ARGUMENTS section).

Examiner requests the updating of information in the "CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" section (paragraphs 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the specification).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Independent claim 20 is directed to software (instructions) that is not implemented on a computer-readable **storage** medium.

Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material *per se* and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure *per se* held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure

Art Unit: 2141

and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 9 – 12 and 14 – 20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,789,109. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present Application closely map to the claims of the '109 patent as detailed below.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,473

Art Unit: 2141

- 4.1 Claim 9 maps closely to claim 1 of '109.
- 4.2 Claim 10 maps closely to claim 1 of '109.
- 4.3 Claim 11 maps closely to claim 2 of '109.
- 4.4 Claim 12 maps closely to claim 2 of '109.
- 4.5 Claim 14 maps to claims 1, 2, 4, or 5 of '109 ("recorded environment").
- 4.6 Claim 15 maps to claims 1, 2, 4, or 5 of '109 ("image of a screen").
- 4.7 Claim 16 maps to claims 1, 4, or 5 of '109 ("settings of a current environment").
- 4.8 Claim 17 maps to claims 1, 4, or 5 or '109 ("transferring the recorded environment to a remote location").
- 4.9 Claim 18 maps closely to claim 3 of '109 ("not present at the location remote from the application program.").
- 4.10 Claim 19 maps closely to claim 1 of '109.
- 4.11 Claim 20 maps closely to claim 1 of '109.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2141

6. Claims 9, 11, 14 – 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsushita (U.S. Pat. No. 5,850,545) (Application Program Environment Setting System and Method).

6.1 Regarding claim 9, Matsushita discloses a method for conveying the state of an application program to a remote location, wherein the application is operating in an application program environment, the method comprising:

capturing the current state of operation of the application program (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 - 7);

saving one or more settings of a current state of the application program (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 - 7); and

transferring the recorded environment to a remote location (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 - 7).

6.2 Regarding claim 11, Matsushita discloses a method for recreating the state of an application program at a process executing at a location remote from the application program, wherein recorded application parameters include settings for recreating the state of the application program, wherein the application program is operating in an application program environment, the method comprising:

receiving, over a digital network, one or more of the recorded application parameters (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 - 7);

Art Unit: 2141

executing another instance of the application program at the location remote from the application program (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 - 7); and using the instance and the one or more of the recorded application parameters recorded to recreate the state of the application program at the location remote from the application program (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 - 7).

- 6.3 Regarding claim 14, Matsushita discloses the method of claim 11, wherein a recorded parameter file is used (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 7; col. 4, lines 57 67).
- 6.4 Per claim 15, Matsushita teaches the method of claim 14, wherein the recorded parameter file includes image information (col. 4, lines 57 67).
- Regarding claim 16, Matsushita discloses the method of claim 14, wherein the recorded parameter file includes setting information (Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1-7).
- 6.6 Per claim 17, Matsushita teaches the method of claim 14, wherein the recorded parameter file is transferred over a network (Fig. 1).
- 6.7 Regarding claim 18, Matsushita discloses the method of claim 12, wherein the step of determining includes a substep of:

Application/Control Number: 10/807,473

Art Unit: 2141

determining that a needed application program is not present at the location remote from the application program (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1 – 7; col. 4, lines 57 - 67).

6.9 Per claims 19 and 20, the rejection of claims 9 and 11 under 35 USC 102(b) (paragraphs 6.1 and 6.2 above) applies fully.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hayes U.S. Pat. No. 6,105,063 Client-Server System for Maintaining

Application Preferences in a Hierarchical Data Structure According to User and User

Group or Terminal and Terminal Group Contexts

System that maintains software preferences (configuration data) for a user and a group of users.

Rodriquez U.S. Pat. No. 6,263,346 Network With Storage of all Client
Computer Programs in Server Computer Having Customized Client Graphical User
Interfaces with Maximum Sharing of Stored Portions of Interfaces Common to a Plurality
of Clients

Customized configurations and settings for the desktop of a user.

Art Unit: 2141

Dewhurst et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,430,609 Method for Accessing Complex Software Applications Through a Client User Interface

Method for configuring a software application with a client user interface utilizing a master configuration file.

Devine et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,467,080 Shared, Dynamically Customizable User Documentation

A documentation repository for storing user-generated documentation relating to software applications.

Voskuil U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2002/0032768 Method and System for Configuring Remotely Located Applications

A system for automatically configuring applications on an end user computer system, wherein the configuration or reconfiguration of the application is performed according to the end user's requirements.

Moran et al. U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0068567 Method and System for Transferring a Computer Session Between Devices

Method that transfers a computer session from a first device to a second device by transferring the context of the computer session.

Art Unit: 2141

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R. Coulter whose telephone number is 571 272-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on 5 4 9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KENNETH R. COULTER
PLIMARY EXAMPLE

krc